



STATE OF FLORIDA
JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS
COMMISSION
Post Office Box 14106
Tallahassee, Florida 32317
Tel: (850) 488-1581
www.floridajqc.com

FOR JQC USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS: This form is designed to provide the Commission with the information required to make an initial evaluation of your complaint and to begin any necessary inquiry or investigation into your allegations.

Please print or type your information onto this form. Any materials or documents that you provide to the Commission will become part of the Commission's files, and will not be returned or copied to you. The Commission will contact you if additional information or materials are needed.

After you complete this form, please sign and date the certification page, and mail it, along with any attachments, to Post Office Box 14106, Tallahassee, Florida 32317. Please be aware that the Commission cannot accept complaints by fax, email, or telephone. You will receive an acknowledgement letter when the Commission has received your complaint. The Commission meets approximately every six weeks, and reviews complaints on a first-come-first-served basis. You will be notified in writing of the outcome of your complaint, subject to the limits of confidentiality.

IMPORTANT: The Judicial Qualifications Commission has no authority to review, reverse, or modify a judge's decision or order, and cannot intervene in any way in a court case. Similarly, the Commission does not have the authority to remove a judge from your case. Commission staff is not permitted to provide you with any legal advice or opinions.

The Commission has jurisdiction over Justices of the Florida Supreme Court, and Judges of the District Courts of Appeal, County Courts, and Circuit Courts. The Commission does not have jurisdiction over special masters, magistrates, hearing officers (including: traffic hearing officers, worker's compensation hearing officers), administrative law judges, or federal judges.

YOUR CONTACT INFORMATION (Please print legibly):

Name: ADAM JOSHUA MACKER Phone Number: 386-898-4700

Mailing Address: 6338 ROLLING MEADOWS ST.

City, State, Zip Code: EASTVALE, CA 92880

JUDGE'S INFORMATION:

Judge's Name: DAWN D. NICHOLS County: VOLUSIA

Address: 101 NORTH ALABAMA AVE.

City, State, Zip Code: DELAND, FL 32724

CASE INFORMATION

If your complaint involves a court case, please provide the following information.

Case Name: STATE OF FLORIDA V. ADAM MACKER

Case Number (include all letters and numbers): FL 2021-102073-CFDL

County: VOLUSIA

If you were represented by an attorney, please provide their contact information.

Attorney's Name: KEVIN J. PITTS

Address: 4195 N 17-92

City, State, Zip Code: SANFORD, FL 32733

Phone: 407-268-3688

WITNESS INFORMATION

In this section, provide the names and contact information for any other persons who may have witnessed the improper conduct. (Attach additional sheets if necessary).

1. Name: JORDAN MACKER

Relationship to case: DAUGHTER OF VICTIM

Phone number: 386-500-8549

2. Name: JACOB MACKER

Relationship to case: SON OF VICTIM

Phone: 386-318-4150

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS

Attach copies of any relevant documents which you believe support your claim that the judge has engaged in judicial misconduct or has a disability. Please do not staple or bind documents. **Retain the originals or copies of any documents submitted. All submitted materials become property of the Commission and will not be returned.**

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Please provide, in as much detail as possible, the information you believe constitutes judicial misconduct or disability. Include names, dates, places, addresses, and telephone numbers which may assist the Commission. Attach additional pages as necessary.

PLEASE REFER TO ATTACHED..

IN FILING THIS COMPLAINT, I UNDERSTAND THAT FLORIDA LAW REQUIRES THAT COMPLAINTS FILED WITH THE COMMISSION MUST REMAIN CONFIDENTIAL, AND THAT ALL INQUIRIES BEFORE THE COMMISSION ARE CONFIDENTIAL, UNLESS AND UNTIL PROBABLE CAUSE IS DETERMINED AND FORMAL CHARGES ARE FILED.

UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY, I declare that I have read and understand this complaint form, and the above information is true, correct, complete, and submitted of my own free will.

07-03-2026

Date

Adam J. Macker

Complainant's Signature

Please note that the Commission only has authority to investigate allegations of judicial misconduct or permanent disability by persons holding state judicial positions. The Commission has no jurisdiction over, and does not consider complaints against, Federal Judges, magistrates, law enforcement, clerks, court personnel, attorneys, etc.

The Commission does not act as an appellate court and cannot review, reverse or modify a decision or ruling made by a judge in the course of a court proceeding.

Please return the completed complaint form by regular US Mail, and direct all future communications, to:

Florida Judicial Qualifications Commission
Post Office Box 14106
Tallahassee, Florida 32317

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This complaint respectfully requests that the Florida Judicial Qualifications Commission conduct a formal investigation into the conduct of the Honorable Dawn D. Nichols arising from judicial proceedings over which she presided in Volusia County, Florida.

This complaint is not submitted for the purpose of asking the Commission to reverse a judicial ruling, intervene in a pending case, or act as an appellate court. The Judicial Qualifications Commission states that it does not have authority to change a judge's decision, intervene in a court case, or remove a judge from a case. Its authority concerns judicial conduct by Florida judges.

The purpose of this complaint is to request an ethics-based investigation into whether Judge Nichols' conduct, when viewed together with the documented chronology, complied with the duties imposed upon Florida judges to preserve integrity, impartiality, independence, and public confidence of the judiciary. The Florida Code of Judicial Conduct identifies, among other duties, that a judge shall uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary, avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety, and perform judicial duties impartially and diligently.

The complainant, Adam Joshua Macker, alleges that the sequence of events described below raises serious questions regarding Judge Nichols' impartiality, diligence, and handling of proceedings after she was placed on notice of significant allegations involving public court records, law-enforcement conduct, Internal Affairs complaints, and related materials.

This complaint is based upon a documented chronology, including:

1. Internal Affairs complaints submitted to the Volusia Sheriff's Office;
2. public court docket records;
3. correspondence directed to Judge Nichols;
4. fax submissions transmitted to Judge Nichols in April 2023;
5. Office Depot fax transmission confirmations;
6. court filings;
7. body-camera evidence;
8. publicly available court records; and
9. related documentation organized on the complainant's website.

The complainant has submitted twelve Internal Affairs complaints in total. Complaints 1 through 8 are publicly accessible through the official complaint page on **VolusiaTruth.com**. Complaints 10 through 12 are also publicly accessible through the website. Complaint No. 9 is not publicly posted because it includes federal deposition testimony from law-enforcement officers, which remains connected to ongoing litigation in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. Its omission from the public website should not be understood as a gap in chronology, but rather as an effort to avoid publicly disseminating deposition material connected to pending federal appellate litigation.

The complainant further alleges that Judge Nichols was placed on notice through direct written communications and fax submissions, including four fax transmissions sent to Judge Nichols in April 2023. The uploaded fax packet contains Office Depot transmission confirmations dated April 20, 2023, and April 24, 2023, showing successful transmissions to Judge Nichols, along with accompanying correspondence and supporting materials.

Because the complete documentary record is extensive, this complaint does not attach hundreds of pages of hardcopy exhibits. Instead, the Commission is respectfully directed to the public evidence repository maintained at **VolusiaTruth.com**, where the relevant records are organized by category for independent review.

II. JURISDICTION OF THE JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION

The Florida Judicial Qualifications Commission has jurisdiction over judicial conduct involving Florida judges, including circuit judges. The JQC's own public instructions state that the Commission has jurisdiction over Justices and Judges of the Florida Supreme Court, County Courts, Circuit Courts, and District Courts of Appeal, and that complaints must be submitted by original signed copy through regular U.S. Mail.

This complaint concerns the conduct of Judge Dawn D. Nichols while serving as a Circuit Judge in the Seventh Judicial Circuit in Volusia County, Florida.

The complainant recognizes that the Commission is not an appellate court and does not have authority to vacate criminal proceedings, reverse orders, reconsider rulings, or remove Judge Nichols from any case. The complaint instead requests review of whether the judge's conduct, viewed through the full documented chronology, complied with the ethical obligations of a Florida judicial officer.

The complainant respectfully submits that the chronology raises questions regarding:

1. whether Judge Nichols maintained the appearance of impartiality;
2. whether the judge responded appropriately after being placed on notice of serious allegations involving court records and related proceedings;
3. whether the use and timing of competency proceedings created an appearance of retaliation, bias, or misuse of judicial authority;
4. whether the judge's conduct promoted public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary; and
5. whether further investigation is warranted under the Florida Code of Judicial Conduct.

This complaint therefore falls within the Commission's authority to evaluate alleged judicial misconduct, while expressly avoiding any request that the Commission function as an appellate tribunal.

III. AVAILABILITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

The factual allegations and chronology described in this complaint are supported by public records, court filings, Internal Affairs complaints, fax transmission confirmations, correspondence, and related documentation.

Rather than submitting several hundred pages of hardcopy exhibits, the complainant has organized the supporting documentation in a public evidence repository available at:

VolusiaTruth.com

The website is intended to allow investigators to review the underlying documentation directly, in context, and by category.

The supporting materials available through the website include, among other records:

Category	Description	Website Location
Internal Affairs Complaints	Complaints 1–8 and 10–12 submitted to the Volusia Sheriff’s Office	Official complaint page / Internal Affairs section
Complaint No. 9	Not publicly posted because it includes federal deposition testimony connected to pending Eleventh Circuit litigation	Available upon appropriate request, but not publicly posted
Judge Nichols Fax Submissions	April 2023 fax submissions, cover sheets, letters, and transmission confirmations	Judge Nichols / Fax Submissions section
Court-Record Documentation	Public court docket materials and court-record irregularities alleged by the complainant	Court Fraud / Clerk Records section
Competency Proceedings	Records and references relating to the competency proceedings before Judge Nichols	Judge Nichols / Court Proceedings section
Body-Camera Evidence	Publicly available videos and body-camera materials	Video Evidence section
Federal Litigation Materials	Relevant filings from federal litigation and appellate proceedings	Federal Case / Eleventh Circuit section
Correspondence	Letters, communications, and supporting written notices	Correspondence section

The complainant respectfully asks the Commission to independently review the website materials and, if necessary, request certified copies or specific source documents. The complainant is not attempting to substitute the website for formal evidence; rather, the website is being used as an organized index and repository for supporting documentation.

The uploaded Internal Affairs packet contains 137 pages and includes the first eight complaints and supporting materials. The uploaded fax packet contains 32 pages and includes the fax submissions to Judge Nichols, fax cover sheets, transmission confirmations, and supporting documents.

IV. SCOPE OF THIS COMPLAINT

This complaint focuses specifically on Judge Dawn D. Nichols.

It does not ask the Commission to discipline law-enforcement officers, prosecutors, defense attorneys, clerks, collection agencies, or other non-judicial actors. Those persons and entities are referenced only where necessary to explain the chronology and the information allegedly available to Judge Nichols at relevant times.

The complainant alleges that Judge Nichols' conduct cannot be fairly evaluated in isolation from the surrounding chronology because the judge was allegedly placed on notice of serious concerns before taking or permitting actions that the complainant believes raise questions of impartiality and judicial propriety.

The relevant chronology includes:

1. October 13, 2021 traffic stop and resulting criminal proceedings;
2. Alleged license-suspension and court-record issues;
3. Internal Affairs complaints beginning November 8, 2022;
4. December 7, 2022 competency-related proceedings;
5. February 3, 2023 third Internal Affairs complaint;
6. February 20, 2023 fourth Internal Affairs complaint;
7. February 20/21, 2023 competency proceedings before Judge Nichols;
8. February 23, 2023 fifth Internal Affairs complaint;
9. April 2023 bond and plea-related proceedings;
10. April 20–24, 2023 fax submissions to Judge Nichols; and
11. Subsequent public documentation of these events on VolusiaTruth.com.

The complainant respectfully submits that the Commission should review the full timeline to determine whether Judge Nichols' conduct created an appearance of partiality, retaliatory treatment, failure to meaningfully address documented concerns, or other conduct inconsistent with the standards expected of a Florida judge.

V. SUMMARY OF THE COMPLAINANT'S POSITION

The complainant alleges that, by late 2022 and early 2023, he had repeatedly attempted to report what he believed were serious irregularities involving court records, law-enforcement conduct, and related proceedings.

According to the complainant, these reports were not vague or isolated. They included written Internal Affairs complaints, court-record materials, public docket information, and later fax submissions directed to Judge Nichols.

The complainant alleges that:

1. He submitted his first Internal Affairs complaint on November 8, 2022;
2. He submitted his second Internal Affairs complaint on November 15, 2022;
3. On December 7, 2022, after refusing to accept a plea, competency proceedings were requested and ordered;
4. On February 3, 2023, he submitted a third Internal Affairs complaint consisting of approximately 27 pages and containing documentation of alleged court-record irregularities;
5. Approximately seventeen days later, on or about February 20/21, 2023, Judge Nichols brought him before the court for competency-related proceedings;
6. He submitted his fourth Internal Affairs complaint on February 20, 2023;
7. He submitted his fifth Internal Affairs complaint on February 23, 2023;
8. In April 2023, he transmitted multiple faxes to Judge Nichols, including documentation and explanations regarding his allegations;
9. The fax records show successful transmissions to Judge Nichols on April 20 and April 24, 2023; and
10. These materials are publicly organized on VolusiaTruth.com for independent review.

The complainant does not ask the Commission to accept these allegations as proven solely because they are stated here. Instead, he respectfully requests that the Commission independently review the records, the timing, the court docket, the fax submissions, and the related public documentation to determine whether the matter warrants formal investigation.

VI. CHRONOLOGICAL TIMELINE OF SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

The following chronology is provided to assist the Commission in reviewing the sequence of events in context. The complainant respectfully submits that the timing of these events is material because it shows repeated written notice to public officials, including documented Internal Affairs complaints and later written communications directed to Judge Dawn D. Nichols, followed by competency-related proceedings and other judicial actions that the complainant believes warrant independent review.

This chronology is not intended to ask the Commission to resolve every disputed factual issue. Rather, it is intended to identify the sequence of documented events, the records available for review, and the points at which the complainant alleges Judge Nichols was placed on notice of serious concerns.

Date	Event	Supporting Documentation / Website Location
August 2, 2021	The Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles issued a notice of order of suspension and final order relating to the complainant's driver's license. The complainant alleges that this suspension arose from court-record and payment irregularities that later became central to his complaints.	VolusiaTruth.com → Court Fraud / Clerk Records; Judge Nichols Faxes 1–4 packet.
September 15, 2021	The Clerk's Office issued a payment-compliance letter relating to an older court obligation. The complainant alleges that the obligation had previously been satisfied and that later records reflected irregularities involving payments, satisfactions, and judgments.	VolusiaTruth.com → Court Fraud / Clerk Records; Internal Affairs Complaints 1–8.
October 13, 2021	The underlying traffic-stop incident occurred involving Deputy Meshelle Naylor and Sgt. Jason Stickles. The complainant alleges that this stop was connected to the disputed license suspension and later criminal proceedings before Judge Nichols.	VolusiaTruth.com → False Arrest 10/13/2021; Body-Camera Evidence; Court Records. The website's homepage also identifies "False Arrest 10/13/2021" under official complaints.
September 2022	During a court appearance, the complainant alleges that the assistant state attorney represented to Judge Nichols that the State possessed video evidence of the complainant fleeing. The complainant contends no such dash-camera fleeing evidence existed and that Judge Nichols ordered defense counsel to transfer recorded evidence to the complainant.	Prior federal filings and chronology reference this event.
October 8, 2022	The complainant states that Muska Law transferred six recordings, which he later uploaded publicly.	VolusiaTruth.com → Video Evidence; federal chronology.
November 8, 2022	The complainant submitted Internal Affairs Complaint No. 1 to the Volusia Sheriff's Office. This complaint began the formal written Internal Affairs chronology relied upon in this JQC complaint.	VolusiaTruth.com → Official Complaints → Internal Affairs Complaints 1–8. The uploaded IA packet also includes the first eight complaints.

Date	Event	Supporting Documentation / Website Location
November 15, 2022	The complainant submitted Internal Affairs Complaint No. 2 .	VolusiaTruth.com → Official Complaints → Internal Affairs Complaints 1–8.
December 7, 2022	The complainant alleges that Muska Law withdrew, forcing him to appear at docket sounding without representation. The assistant state attorney allegedly reviewed or referenced the complainant’s Internal Affairs complaints and requested a competency evaluation, which was subsequently ordered by Judge Nichols.	Federal chronology and prior filings.
December 10, 2022	The complainant states that he began speaking publicly on YouTube and Facebook regarding what he believed to be corruption and court-record irregularities in Volusia County.	Federal chronology.
On or about December 15, 2022	The complainant alleges that he began independently researching public records on Clerk.org and discovered what he believed were irregularities involving court costs, payments, satisfactions, and judgments.	VolusiaTruth.com → Court Fraud / Clerk Records; federal chronology.
December 27, 2022	The complainant alleges that Judge Randall H. Rowe III signed a warrant for “scheme to defraud” relating to a company the complainant states had been closed for years. This event is included only for chronology and context, not as a complaint against Judge Nichols.	VolusiaTruth.com → Court Records / Judge Rowe materials; federal chronology.
January 4, 2023	The complainant states that he traveled to the FBI office in Maitland to report alleged financial crimes and public-record irregularities and was arrested outside the FBI field office.	Federal chronology.
January 16, 2023	The complainant hired attorney Kevin J. Pitts and states that he provided Mr. Pitts with information relating to alleged harassment,	Federal chronology.

Date	Event	Supporting Documentation / Website Location
	stalking, identity theft, and manipulated court records.	
February 3, 2023	The complainant submitted Internal Affairs Complaint No. 3 , described in the record as a 27-page complaint containing mostly photocopies of alleged court-record fraud located at Clerk.org. This date is central to the complainant's chronology because he alleges the later competency proceedings occurred shortly after this complaint.	VolusiaTruth.com → Official Complaints → Internal Affairs Complaints 1–8; federal chronology.
February 20, 2023	The complainant states that he traveled to the Volusia Sheriff's Office to submit Internal Affairs Complaint No. 4 . He alleges that, while there, he encountered news crews waiting to interview Sheriff Mike Chitwood and that Sheriff Chitwood directly confronted him.	Federal chronology and later federal order references this February 20, 2023 encounter.
February 20–21, 2023	The complainant alleges that, approximately 17 days after the February 3, 2023 Internal Affairs complaint, Judge Nichols brought him before the court for competency-related proceedings. Some records refer to February 20, while other materials refer to February 21; the official court docket or transcript should control the final date. The complainant alleges that Judge Nichols made statements regarding “compartmentalized delusions” and competency.	VolusiaTruth.com → Judge Dawn D. Nichols page; that page states that, 17 days after the third Internal Affairs complaint, the complainant was summoned to court for competency proceedings and links to “Faxes 1–4 to Judge Nichols.” Prior federal chronology also references February 21, 2023.
February 21, 2023	A letter from Chief Deputy Brian Henderson acknowledged receipt of the complainant's February 20, 2023 complaint and stated that the incident was similar to previously filed complaints. This letter appears in the Judge Nichols fax packet.	Judge Nichols Faxes 1–4 packet.
February 23, 2023	The complainant submitted Internal Affairs Complaint No. 5 .	VolusiaTruth.com → Official Complaints → Internal Affairs Complaints 1–8; federal chronology.

Date	Event	Supporting Documentation / Website Location
March 16, 2023	The complainant states that he delivered a letter to Judge Randall H. Rowe III regarding Ishmael Acevedo and the complainant's stolen motorcycle. This event is included only as part of the broader chronology.	Federal chronology.
On or about March 19, 2023	The complainant alleges that his stolen motorcycle was returned to his residence, but law enforcement later arrived, refused to withdraw the BOLO, attempted to engage with him, and impounded the motorcycle.	Federal chronology.
On or about March 30, 2023	The complainant alleges that attorney Kevin Pitts told him by telephone that he likely did not need to attend the April 5, 2023 court date and that the fleeing case would likely be dismissed for lack of evidence.	Federal chronology.
April 5, 2023	The complainant alleges that, after previously being led to believe he did not need to attend court, he received a text from attorney Kevin Pitts after court had already begun stating that both attorney and client had to appear for docket sounding.	Federal chronology.
April 6, 2023	The complainant alleges that Deputies Igo and King entered his property and residence without a warrant for the home and arrested him after a failure-to-appear event. This event is included because it preceded the April 2023 bond proceedings and later fax submissions to Judge Nichols.	VolusiaTruth.com → Unlawful Search 4/6/2023; federal filings. The website homepage identifies "Unlawful Search 4/6/2023" under official complaints.
April 13, 2023	The complainant alleges that, at a bond hearing before Judge Nichols, bond was effectively denied or made clear to be unavailable, resulting in pressure to accept felony probation. The complainant also alleges that he attempted to raise concerns involving criminal activity at VCDC and that the assistant state attorney rejected his credibility.	Prior federal filings and chronology.

Date	Event	Supporting Documentation / Website Location
April 20, 2023	The complainant sent a fax to Judge Nichols. The uploaded fax packet includes an Office Depot outbound fax notification showing a successful transmission on April 20, 2023, at 12:23 p.m., with five total pages, directed to Honorable Judge Dawn Nichols.	Judge Nichols Faxes 1–4 packet; also publicly linked from the Judge Nichols page on VolusiaTruth.com.
April 20–24, 2023	The complainant alleges that he submitted four faxes to Judge Nichols explaining attorney Kevin Pitts’ conduct, his inability to obtain meaningful representation, and alleged court-record fraud. Prior filings state that all four faxes were available on the public docket except the second fax, which allegedly contained photocopies of manipulated court-record documents.	Prior federal filing summary and Judge Nichols Faxes 1–4 packet.
April 24, 2023, 8:23 a.m.	The fax packet includes an Office Depot outbound fax notification showing a successful transmission to Judge Nichols on April 24, 2023, at 8:23 a.m., with nine total pages.	Judge Nichols Faxes 1–4 packet.
April 24, 2023, 8:31 a.m.	The fax packet includes an Office Depot outbound fax notification showing a successful transmission to Judge Nichols on April 24, 2023, at 8:31 a.m., with thirteen total pages.	Judge Nichols Faxes 1–4 packet.
November 8, 2022 – August 30, 2024	The complainant states that he submitted eight Internal Affairs complaints to the office of Sheriff Mike Chitwood during this period.	Federal filing references “the eight Internal Affairs complaints submitted to the office of Michael Chitwood from November 8, 2022 - August 30, 2024.”
After Complaint No. 8	The complainant states that he submitted twelve Internal Affairs complaints in total. Complaints 1–8 and 10–12 are identified as publicly available through VolusiaTruth.com. Complaint No. 9 is not publicly posted because, according to the complainant, it includes federal deposition testimony	VolusiaTruth.com publicly links Internal Affairs Complaints 1–8, Internal Affairs Complaints 10–11, and Internal Affairs Complaint #12.

Date	Event	Supporting Documentation / Website Location
	connected to pending Eleventh Circuit litigation.	
March 5, 2025	The website page concerning the Volusia Sheriff's Office and Perdue-related allegations was published and links to Internal Affairs Complaints 1–8, 10–11, and #12. This supports the complainant's position that the website is being used as an organized evidence repository rather than as a substitute for paper exhibits.	VolusiaTruth.com page listing official Internal Affairs complaint PDFs.
Current / Pending	The complainant states that Complaint No. 9 remains withheld from public posting because it contains federal deposition materials tied to pending litigation in the Eleventh Circuit, Case No. 25-12280-D.	VolusiaTruth.com references the Eleventh Circuit appeal number on the relevant public page.

Timeline Summary

The complainant respectfully submits that the above chronology shows a sequence in which he repeatedly reported alleged misconduct and court-record irregularities through formal Internal Affairs complaints, public records, and direct communications. The timing of the February 2023 competency proceedings is central to this complaint because the complainant alleges those proceedings occurred approximately seventeen days after his February 3, 2023, Internal Affairs complaint containing court-record documentation.

The complainant does not ask the Commission to accept his conclusions without investigation. Instead, he respectfully requests that the Commission independently review the court docket, transcripts, Internal Affairs complaints, fax confirmations, and supporting documentation available through **VolusiaTruth.com**, including the Judge Nichols page and the official complaint archive.

“To the extent any date in this chronology differs from the official court docket or certified transcript, the complainant respectfully requests that the Commission rely upon the official court record and treat this chronology as a good-faith guide to the sequence of events.”

Respectfully Submitted,

Adam J. Macker

Adam J. Macker

386-898-4700

adam@volusiatruth.com